

*Application No. 09/786307*  
*Page 7*

*Amendment*  
*Attorney Docket No. S40.2I-9755-US01*

**Remarks**

This Amendment is in response to the Office Actions dated **July 8, 2004** and **September 1, 2004**. Claims 1 and 4-7 were rejected on the judiciary created doctrine of double patenting. A properly worded Terminal Disclaimer and fee was enclosed in the response filed on August 16, 2004. That **Terminal Disclaimer and fee are not presented here again** since it may be confusing. The Office Action of September 1, 2004 allowed claims 1 and 4-7. It also noted that claims 9-15 needed to be either canceled or rewritten to include all limitations of claim 1. Claim 9 has been amended to depend from allowed claim 1. It is believed that the application is now in a condition for allowance.

Respectfully submitted,

VIDAS, ARRETT & STENKRAUS

Date: September 14, 2004

By: 

Scott Q. Vidas  
Registration No.: 30812

6109 Blue Circle Drive, Suite 2000  
Minnetonka, MN 55343-9185  
Telephone: (952) 563-3000  
Facsimile: (952) 563-3001

f:\wpwork\sqv\09755us01\_amd\_20040913.doc